TY PARTE OF LATE OF LED

LAW OFFICES OF MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C.

SIDNEY T. MILLER (1864-1840) GEORGE L. CANFIELD (1868-1928) LEWIS H. PADDOCK (1866-1936) FERRIS D. STONE (1882-1845)

TILLMAN L. LAY

(202) 457-5966

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY 1225 NINETEENTH STREET, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MICHIGAN DETROIT, MICHIGAN GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN KALAMAZOO, MICHIGAN LANSING, MICHIGAN MONROE, MICHIGAN

JUL 2 3 1996

TELEPHONE (202) 429-5575 (202) 785-0600FF0FFA

FAX (202) 331-1118 OPT - 10 TARY (202) 785-1234

AFRUATED OFFICES: ST. PETERSAURG, PLORIDA GDANSK, POLAND WARSAW, POLAND

NEW YORK, N.Y. WASHINGTON D.C.

July 23, 1996

BY HAND

Mr. William F. Caton Secretary Federal Communications Commission Room 222 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

> IB Docket No. 95-59 - Preemption of Local Zoning Re: Regulation of Satellite Earth Stations

CS Docket No. 96-83/ -Restrictions on Over-the-Air Television Broadcast and Receptions Devices: Multichannel Multipoint Distribution Service

Dear Mr. Caton:

Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206, I submit this original and one copy of a letter disclosing an oral ex parte conversation in connection with the above-referenced proceedings.

The undersigned and Kevin McCarty of the U.S. Conference of Mayors met with James Cothorp of Commissioner Quello's office today. The meeting dealt with local zoning authority regarding telecommunications facilities. The discussion addressed the issues

RECEIVED

OFFI, IN W. PETARY

OMMISSION

S. FRA

MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C. JUL 23 1996

-2-

set forth in the enclosed letter, a copy of which was given to ${\tt Mr.}$ Cothrop at the meeting.

Very truly yours,

MILLER, CANFIELD, BADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C.

Ву

Tillman L. Lay

Enclosure

cc: James Cothorp WAFS1V46577.1V099999-20030

LAW OFFICES OF MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C.

SIDNEY T, MILLER (1884-1840) SEORGE L. CANFIELD (1886-1928) LEWIS H, PADDOCK (1886-1936) PERWIS D, STONE (1882-1846) A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
1225 NINETEENTH STREET, N.W.
SUITE 400

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

TELMAN L. LAY (202) 457-5966

TELEPHONE (202) 429-5575 (202) 785-0600 FAX (202) 333-1118 (202) 785-1234 ANN ARBOR, MICHEAN BLOOMPRID HILLS, MICHEAN DETROT, MICHEAN GRAND RAFIDS, MICHEAN KALMARDO, MICHEAN LANGRIG, MICHEAN MORROE, MICHEAN MORROE, MICHEAN

NEW YORK, N.Y. WASHINGTON, D.C.

AFFLIATED OFFICES: PENSACOLA, PLORIDA ST. PETENSBURG, PLORIDA GDAÑSK, POLAND WARSAW, POLAND

July 9, 1996

BY HAND

Meredith J. Jones Chief, Cable Services Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2033 M Street, N.W. Room 918A Washington, D.C. 20554

> Re: IB Docket No. 95-59 - Preemption of Local Zoning Regulation of Satellite Earth Stations

CS Docket No. 96-83 - Restrictions on Over-the-Air Reception Devices: Television Broadcast and Multichannel Multipoint Distribution Service

Dear Ms. Jones:

In connection with the above-referenced proceedings, on behalf of the National League of Cities; the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors; and the National Trust for Historic Preservation ("League of Cities, et. al."), we hereby submit the following recommendations for a proposed rule implementing Section 207 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

- 1. The satellite preemption rule should be limited in scope to DBS antennas and, therefore, should reiterate the language of Section 207 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, relating to DBS antennas.
- 2. There should be <u>no</u> presumptive preemption of local health, safety, historic, or aesthetic regulations affecting the installation, maintenance or use of such antennas.

MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C.

Meredith J. Jones July 9, 1996 Page 2

- 3. Parties believing that a particular local regulation impairs their ability to receive DBS, MMDS or off-the-air broadcast television service should be required to petition the Commission for review of local regulations on a case-by-case basis, with the burden on the petitioning party to prove that the regulation impairs his or her ability to receive service, and the burden on the state or local government to prove, as an absolute defense, that the challenged regulation serves a health, safety, historic, or aesthetic objective and is reasonably tailored to serve that objective.
- 4. "Impair" should be defined as expressly prohibiting or having the effect of prohibiting the receipt of service.
- 5. The following classes of local zoning, building, and land use regulations should be considered "safe harbors" that are immune to challenge:
- a. Generally accepted health and safety regulations including, by way of example and not limitation, the BOCA National Building Code promulgated by the Building Officials & Code Administrators International, Inc., and the National Electrical Code promulgated by the National Fire Protection Association.
- b. Generally applicable provisions of local zoning, building, and land use codes that do not single out DBS, MMDS, or television antennas for separate and disfavored treatment.
- c. Zoning, building, and land use restrictions and designations relating to parks, wetlands, historic districts and sites designated as such by federal, state, or local laws and regulations should not be subject to review.

MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours

MILLER, CANFEELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C.

By

Tillman L. Lag

cc: William F. Caton
John Stern
Rosalee Chiara
Joseph Welsh
Jacqueline Spindler
Randi Albert
Ryan Wallach
John Logan
William Johnson

WAPS1\46308.2\107647-00001